





Detection of the Security Feature in the New £1 coin



Sarah Rogers & Rhys Thomas











How the battle against counterfeiting in the coin industry has driven innovation





Sarah Rogers

October 2017



Contents

- The Royal Mint
- The history of coin security
- What makes a secure coin today
- The future of Coin Technology
- First look at the new UK £1 launching 2017







Our History















Our Businesses











Medals

Decorations, Awards and Honours including London 2012 Olympic and Paralympic Medals, military service medals, OBEs and MBEs



Bullion

Production and distribution of high quality, trustable investment grade gold coins



Circulating Coins

Producer of all legal tender UK Circulating Coins and the world's leading export mint

Commemorative Coins

Design and manufacture precious metal coins and medallions to celebrate national events





The History of Coin Security



 The battle between coin minter and counterfeiter has waged for thousands of years



- Up until the 20^{th} Century most coins were made from gold and silver
- The 17th Century was a golden age of coin innovation



 Milling and edge lettering. First widely used on coins from the 1660s when the coining process is modernised and machinery introduced for the production of coins







The Royal Mint & Sir Isaac Newton



Isaac Newton makes the point that having the highest quality coin is the best way to deter counterfeiting.





- This incorporates the coin as a whole – accuracy of the specification and the highest quality design.
- Raises the bar to which the counterfeiter must match.



Independently verified at The trial of the Pyx







Overt Security Features











Coin Security Features Today





Overt Security

Visible security features



Covert Security



Hidden security features







Covert Security Electromagnetic Signature



Used in most vending machines

A mixture of high and low frequencies used to determine composition and validity

- High frequencies do not penetrate into the core of the coin and are therefore sensitive to the surface material.
- Lower frequencies penetrate deeper into the coin and are therefore sensitive to both surface and core material.







Inductive sensor



Low Frequency

Deeper penetration Inductive sensor



High Frequency

Shallower penetration





The next innovation in coin security



Used in many high security industries such as tax stamps, passports and luxury goods















The New UK £1 Launched 2017

















The High Security Feature



The high security feature is incorporated into the plated layer during the production process











How Does it Work?

Detected using stand alone optical readers



Readers can be incorporated throughout the cash cycle



The technology is able to check and verify coins at a rate of thousands per minute



Provides a categorical Yes/No answer











The High Security Feature











The High Security Feature



Patented metal matrix composite deposition process developed by The Royal Mint



Security feature is present only a deposited nickel layer, reducing costs but ensuring validation is possible throughout circulatory lifetime



Deposit retains the ductility, wear and chemical resistance of the nickel matrix



Optically detected in a similar way to banknote and passport validation methods





Electroplating Process



Nickel anode and coins immersed in nickel electrolyte







Nickel dissolves at anode and is deposited on coins at the cathode







Composite Electroplating









(t=0) Electrophoresis

+

Time,

(t = 1) Weak adsorption onto cathode

(t=2) Strong adsorption to cathode

 $\left(t=3\right)$ Envelopment by nickel deposition

(t = 4) Particle incorporation







Deposition Models



Inputs







Electrolyte Temperature Composition pН Additives Colloidal stability Turbulence **Electrochemical** Charge transfer Mass transfer Polarisation



Outputs

Deposit Properties Ductility Chemical resistance Surface finish Volume fraction Optical properties Wear characteristics Dispersion





Deposition Models



All useful composite deposition process models are empirical

Model requires both inputs and outputs









MATLAB was used extensively to develop and apply models at laboratory and pilot scale and to solve process issues at production scale

Over 500 experiments were performed at the laboratory and pilot scale to characterise the system





Example Applications



<u>Inputs</u>







Electrolyte Temperature Composition pН Additives Colloidal stability Turbulence **Electrochemical** Charge transfer Mass transfer Polarisation



Outputs

Deposit Properties Ductility Chemical resistance Surface finish Volume fraction Optical properties Wear characteristics Dispersion







The volume fraction of the security feature incorporated into the electrodeposit was quantified using the Image Processing Toolbox



Electron micrographs were produced from coin cross sections



Over 4000 cross sectional micrographs were analysed during the development phase



Automated methods were developed to speed up the analysis process







Mounting resin



Nickel deposit containing security feature





Nickel brass substrate









Micrograph was cropped to reveal only the composite coating

















Threshold value applied to convert numerical array into a logical array:

img = img < threshold













The surface area fraction of the cross section occupied with particles is approximated to the volume fraction



The volume fraction can be determined with knowledge of the sum of the logical array and the size of the array:



vf = sum(~img) / numel(img)









Over 400 laboratory and pilot scale electroplating experiments were performed



At least ten micrographs were produced from each plating cycle, microscopy and image analysis took approximately 1hr per cross section



Originally volume fraction analysis was performed manually using image manipulation software



Automation with MATLAB reduced measurement time by over 50%







Rapid comparison of process treatments with other measurement methods



Reproducible comparison metrics



Automated report generation using MATLAB + LaTeX Signal (a.u.)







Example Applications



<u>Inputs</u>







Electrolyte Temperature Composition pН Additives Colloidal stability Turbulence **Electrochemical** Charge transfer Mass transfer Polarisation



Outputs

Deposit Properties Ductility Chemical resistance Surface finish Volume fraction Optical properties Wear characteristics **Dispersion**







A good dispersion of the security feature throughout the nickel matrix is critical for a good quality product



Clustering will produce a product with a variable authentication signal through out the lifetime of the coin







[1] T. Lagache, et al. PloS One (2013)







Edge detection methods provided by The Image Processing Toolbox were used to logically define the perimeters of the security feature inclusions



Each centroid was then determined, again using image processing functions













From the centroids, the level of clustering can be determined by applying statistical methods



Ripley's K function was applied to the data to determine the degree of spatial clustering







[1] T. Lagache, et al. PloS One (2013)



















r

The Royal Mint





Analysis of the dispersion was only performed infrequently due to the lengthy analysis time



Dispersion analysis, and other validation methods, could be performed with each automated volume fraction measurement - incurring less than a 5s increase in processing time



Statistically significant clustering, not visually discernible was unexpectedly detected from some process treatments



Provided an important metric to analyse treatments that would have otherwise not have been performed





Example Applications



<u>Inputs</u>







Electrolyte Temperature Composition pН Additives Colloidal stability Turbulence **Electrochemical** Charge transfer Mass transfer Polarisation



Outputs

Deposit Properties Ductility Chemical resistance Surface finish Volume fraction Optical properties Wear characteristics Dispersion







Over 100M new £1 coins were manufactured before launch







Over 50% failure rate after 50M £1 coins were produced!









Each of the 50M coins were validated through a coin sorter as part of the telling process



Data recorded includes thickness, gauge, EMS parameters and the high security feature signal data



Historical data was imported into MATLAB

Oscilloscope readings were performed as the coin moved through the detection window and analysed using the Signal Processing Toolbox



As the coins moved past the security feature detector changes in the measured signal were observed







A larger signal near the edge of the nickel plated inner was discovered





A narrower detection window

detection window was the cause of the unexpected failures







Pound coins without the security feature were compared to coins with the security feature



Data was imported and 100% authentication was achievable using basic signal processing methods







Pass/fail logic was controlled by an embedded system installed in the coin sorters



Alternate functions, mimicking the capability of the embedded controller, were prototyped offline



Accurate pass rates were determined using historic data without reprogramming controllers









Once a suitable detection method was determined it was rolled out into production



Problem was solved in only a few days with no downtime of the telling machines



100% of the 25M rejected £1 coins were reclaimed and 100M £1 coins were produced on time in anticipation of the launch!

















Established for Tomorrow

Thank you for listening Any questions?

